

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
GREENVILLE DIVISION

ScanSource, Inc.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Courier Plus, Inc. d/b/a Dutchie,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 6:23-cv-03805-TMC

RULE 26(f) REPORT

The parties, having consulted pursuant to Rule 26(f), Fed. R. Civ. P., hereby report as follows (check one below):

- ☐ We agree that the schedule set forth in the Conference and Scheduling Order filed is appropriate for this case. **The parties' proposed discovery plan as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(f) and the information required by Local Civil Rule 26.03 will be separately filed by the parties.**
- ☒ We agree that the schedule set forth in the Conference and Scheduling Order filed requires modification as set forth in the proposed Consent Amended Scheduling Order which will be e-mailed to chambers as required (use format of the Court's standard scheduling order). **The parties' proposed discovery plan as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(f) and the information required by Local Civil Rule 26.03 will be separately filed by the parties.**
- ☐ We are unable, after consultation, to agree on a schedule for this case. We, therefore, request a scheduling conference with the Court. **The parties' proposed discovery plan as required by 26(f) Fed. R. Civ. P., with disagreements noted, and the information required by Local Civil Rule 26.03 will be separately filed by the parties.**

(SIGNATURE PAGE ATTACHED)

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

s/William A. Neinast

Signature of Plaintiff's Counsel

s/W. Kyle Dillard

Signature of Defendant's Counsel

William A. Neinast counsel for ScanSource, Inc.

*Printed Name of Plaintiff's Counsel
and Party Represented*

W. Kyle Dillard counsel for Courier Plus, Inc.,

d/b/a Dutchie
*Printed Name of Defendant's Counsel
and Party Represented*

Dated: October 20, 2023

Dated: October 20, 2023